United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

March 19, 2021 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JOSEPH P GARBARINI,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:20-CV-216
	§
JANAS RANGEL, et al.,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Julie Hampton's Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R). (D.E. 39). The M&R recommends that Defendants Evelyn Castro and Janas Rangel's motion to dismiss be denied. (D.E. 36). The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); *Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP*, No. CIV. A. H-14-2700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015).

Having carefully reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety. (D.E. 39). Accordingly, Defendants Evelyn

Castro and Janas Rangel's motion to dismiss is **DENIED**. (D.E. 36)

SO ORDERED.

AVAD S. MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Corpus Christi, Texas March 19, 2021